



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12910

**Title:** The Effect of Supervised Exercise on Aerobic Capacity in Cancer Survivors: Adherence and Workload Predict Variance in Effect

**Reviewer code:** 02444745

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-29 23:29

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-26 11:31

| CLASSIFICATION                              | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing   | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good      | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair      | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor      |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for an excellent systematic review.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12910

**Title:** The Effect of Supervised Exercise on Aerobic Capacity in Cancer Survivors: Adherence and Workload Predict Variance in Effect

**Reviewer code:** 02454978

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-29 23:29

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-05 14:27

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing   | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | BPG Search:                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Strength of this review paper:

- Meticulous efforts undertaken to track down unpublished data
- Language restriction was not applied as well.

Weakness:

- I question the validity of studying too many moderators in the meta-regression analysis, and hence, I suggest limiting them to say 3-4 essential parameters.
- The Discussion section appears a little disorganised.

All in all, I recommend acceptance of this paper with minor-moderate revision.