



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19230

**Title:** Role of self-expanding metal stents in patients with malignant colorectal obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer’s code:** 03259495

**Reviewer’s country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 20:37

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-13 23:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

General comments: Profound review and assessment of the literature regarding relevant issue of endoscopy and oncology, till now not available in such detail. Highly sophisticated statistical analysis. Well written manuscript with extensive tables and understandable arguments in the discussion. Specific comments: Clear disposition of all parts of the paper. The very strict selection policy - of 2157 initially accumulated papers only 30 (? 1,4 %) were included for analysis - should be discussed in more extent. The same holds true for the fact, that a broad variety of stents, including specific stents for the oesophagus as well as obsolete prostheses were used in the studies; even here a more detailed discussion is necessary. Regarding clinical impact of “bridge to surgery” in an emergency situation, the effective and cheap method of endoscopic application of a decompression tube should be mentioned at least as an alternative method.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19230

**Title:** Role of self-expanding metal stents in patients with malignant colorectal obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer's code:** 00042065

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 20:37

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-17 22:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

a good systemic review of the current literature with take home message



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19230

**Title:** Role of self-expanding metal stents in patients with malignant colorectal obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer's code:** 00044509

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-04-30 20:37

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-13 20:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major 1. As mentioned in the discussion, the period of SEMS replacement is greatly different between palliation and BTS. The author should describe the period of SEMS replacement about each procedure. 2. Concerning complications, it seems to be important when serious complication occur after SEMS replacement. The authors should describe and discuss the time of each complication. 3. It is good to check the type of SEMS type. Covered stent could be better than uncovered stent in terms of preventing tumor ingrowth. Also, nitinol stent could merely perforate because of less axial force. The author should analyze the differences of stent types.