

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 51713

Title: Chinese research into ulcerative colitis from 1978 to 2017: a bibliometric analysis

Reviewer's code: 03260089

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: Italy

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-09-30 09:50

Reviewer performed review: 2019-09-30 10:15

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- "This section described the process of data acquisition, the inclusion and exclusion



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

criteria and the statistical approach used to analyze the data generated," Delete this sentence - "Publications were explored from the Chinese periodical database SinoMed" PubMed is the broadly accepted database: write if the articles published by Chinese researchers of PubMed appear in SinoMed too - Write the strings you used to search - "4,641 papers met the requirements of evidence-based articles" How did you precisely define these requirements? - "Chinese Journal of Coloproctology", "World Chinese Journal of Digestology", "World Chinese Journal of Digestology" etc. are not on PubMed: you have to focus your research on journals indexed on PubMed

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 51713

Title: Chinese research into ulcerative colitis from 1978 to 2017: a bibliometric analysis

Reviewer's code: 02919626

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: AGAF

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: Japan

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-09-29 11:59

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-01 21:37

Review time: 2 Days and 9 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is aiming to describe distribution characteristic of literatures for

ulcerative colitis in China. The aim is interesting but methodology seems to be insufficient for international journal level. 1, Literatures written in Chinese should be excluded from the analysis or be analyzed separately because international scientific journal cannot read these articles as usual. 2, Evidence levels of literatures should be divided according to the Oxford (UK) CEBM Levels of Evidence published its guidelines published in 2009: 1a: Systematic reviews (with homogeneity) of randomized controlled trials 1b: Individual randomized controlled trials (with narrow confidence interval) 1c: All or none randomized controlled trials 2a: Systematic reviews (with homogeneity) of cohort studies 2b: Individual cohort study or low quality randomized controlled trials 2c: "Outcomes" Research; ecological studies 3a: Systematic review (with homogeneity) of case-control studies 3b: Individual case-control study 4: Case series (and poor quality cohort and case-control studies) 5: Expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, or based on physiology, bench research or "first principles" 3, Descriptions "Evidence based study" or publication to "core journal" is very obscure in page 7. These description should be written in methodology section and definition of core journal is needed. 4, Name of authors and region of Chinese country is not interesting for international journal readers. If these issues are mandatory author should choose submission to other domestic journals.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 51713

Title: Chinese research into ulcerative colitis from 1978 to 2017: a bibliometric analysis

Reviewer's code: 00058340

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: DSc, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: United States

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-01 17:24

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-06 20:50

Review time: 5 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting report presenting a bibliometric analysis Chinese research into

Ulcerative Colitis from 1978 to 2017 Comments 1. From the medical and clinical point of view this article is lacking important information comparing directly an increase in UC incidence in China and the number of publications, as well as exponential growth of medical publications. Without such analyses the article has only limited value. 2. The authors should elaborate more on the bibliometric analysis definition, description and analysis and perhaps also comment on scientometric analysis. 3. An important and relevant paper: Azer SA, Azer S. What can we learn from top-cited articles in inflammatory bowel disease? A bibliometric analysis and assessment of the level of evidence. *BMJ Open*. 2018 Jul 12;8(7):e021233. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021233.PMID: 30002009 Free PMC Article, should be cited and discussed.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No