

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 53656

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori and gastric cardia cancer: what do we know about the risks?

**Reviewer's code:** 02446061

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Research Scientist

**Reviewer's country:** Mexico

**Author's country:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-27 18:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-03 01:52

**Review time:** 6 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: The manuscript is interesting, some suggestion are trying to improve presentation. - Please check the meaning of some sentences like "we 'believed' that..." and be concise. - Abstract should include clear conclusions. - The following recent articles should be considered. Their content is relevant to the content of your manuscript: Liou JM, Lee YC, El-Omar EM, Wu MS. Efficacy and Long-Term Safety of H. pylori Eradication for Gastric Cancer Prevention. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019 Apr 28;11(5). pii: E593. Rawla P, Barsouk A. Epidemiology of gastric cancer: global trends, risk factors and prevention. *Prz Gastroenterol*. 2019;14(1):26-38. doi: 10.5114/pg.2018.80001. Venerito M, Link A, Rokkas T, Malfertheiner P. Review: Gastric cancer-Clinical aspects. *Helicobacter*. 2019 Sep;24 Suppl 1:e12643. doi: 10.1111/hel.12643.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 53656

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori and gastric cardia cancer: what do we know about the risks?

**Reviewer's code:** 03769068

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Author's country:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Zhou Tang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-03 16:25

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-06 14:31

**Review time:** 2 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review. The text needs some revisions regarding the English language, as well as the connection between sentences, paragraphs and ideas. The aspects listed below deserve consideration. 1) The abstract needs revision. The text presents problematization. However, this session text needs more content. 2) There is a lot of text in the introduction without bibliographic reference. In addition, the numbers referring to these references need to be standardized in the text. 3) It is not necessary to cite table 1 twice in the text on epidemiology. 4) It would be important to discuss the prevalence of *H. pylori* in Latin America. 5) Severe diseases resulting from *H. pylori* infection depend on the host, bacteria and environment. It has to be in the text. 6) The relationship between *cagA* EPIYA sites and carcinogenesis is not mentioned in the text. This topic is extremely relevant. 7) The authors should discuss CagA protein as an oncoprotein.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 53656

**Title:** Helicobacter pylori and gastric cardia cancer: what do we know about the risks?

**Reviewer's code:** 03538269

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Author's country:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-27 12:06

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-16 17:18

**Review time:** 20 Days and 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

1. Most of the studies are from the western countries which have already established no link between the gastric cardia cancer and H.pylori. The outcome was obvious. 2. More of Asian studies should be included

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No