

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 56768

Title: COVID-19:Waiting for Evidence Based Medicine recommendations, there are off-label therapies based on drug mechanisms of action

Reviewer's code: 05385917

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-05-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-05-18 18:51

Reviewer performed review: 2020-05-21 15:20

Review time: 2 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) Reword title to be less awkward... "COVID 19: While waiting for evidence based recommendations, there are off-label therapies based on drug mechanisms of action" or "COVID-19: Off-label therapies based on mechanism of action while waiting for evidence based recommendations" 2) Abstract introduction... Define SARS-Cov2 first time you use it. Second line: On May 15, 2029 (CORRECT DATE). remove "about" , pathophysiology not physiopathology. pandemic not pandemia (english translation), Repetition of deaths, drawling commentary by authors. Needs to be tighter in the introduction as it is loosely structured. 3) The body of the report is a bullet point list... This is like taking notes perhaps but seems inappropriate for a letter to the editor or peer-reviewed article Overall, this is a brief summary of the antiviral treatments out there but the formatting leaves a lot to be desired for in a peer-reviewed English speaking publication. There is also very little "meat" to the discussion of treatments. Just dosages and how it enters the cell but little top nothing on previous efficacy regarding viral treatments. It also makes suggestions for treatment algorithms which is based on what anecdotal experience or evidence? It needs to be rewritten.