

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 55072

Title: Learning and competence development via clinical cases – which ingredients should be investigated to establish good medical doctors?

Reviewer's code: 01760667

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: Azerbaijan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-28

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-24 15:54

Reviewer performed review: 2020-05-03 17:17

Review time: 9 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review manuscript nr 55072 Learning and competence development via clinical cases – which ingredients should be investigated to establish good medical doctors? Reviewer's code 01760667 Abstract It is unnecessarily complicated written. Facts and theories are aggregated making it hard to digest. The abstract would merit from a more summarized description of the content of the article, leaving some of the enumerated elements out. Manuscript It is an interesting and initiated survey of the higher education history and development throughout the past couple of decades but the language, length of phrases and piling of theories are unnecessarily complicated. Furthermore, the spelling should be revised. A language review would enhance the readability. On the whole it is an UpToDate description of the current knowledge regarding higher education and the authors proposes research strategies to enhance the evidence-based components to optimize teaching and learning. Apart from a language revision the manuscript gives a valuable survey of the field of higher education. Minor comments Second paragraph An abbreviation should be introduced in brackets after the corresponding word the first time it is used (i.e. CBL and LLL) Page 4 under the heading Competencies Spelling! (Szenario) There are also other spelling faults