

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54481

Title: Immunotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma: Combination strategies

Reviewer's code: 01328488

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-03 07:11

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-11 15:40

Review time: 8 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is too much filled with unrelated early study data (RCC, melanoma), and also more emphasis should be put on the fact that many study data are currently being generated (only) in China, which makes it difficult to assess whether or not the compound tested will become relevant world-wide. The title mentions the word "best strategy moving forward", but in fact you only summarize data (if available) from studies, however do not give appraisal or considerations. I do gratefully respect the amount of work that has been put into this manuscript, but advise you to restrict data presentation to only phase II and III studies explicitly dealing with HCC. It makes sense to specifically discuss the atezolizumab/bevacizumab data, rather than extensively discussing phase II data of nivo and only briefly mention the subsequent negative phase III trials; therefore in general start with phase III data (being either positive or negative), then discuss phase II data and end up with ongoing studies that have no data yet. I appreciate the summary of studies done in adjuvant and neoadjuvant stages

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54481

Title: Immunotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma: Combination strategies

Reviewer's code: 02861333

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-18 05:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-18 09:27

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review paper summarized the progression of targeted therapy and immunotherapy in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. The authors discussed the future directions in this field. 1.some spelling mistakes, such as cacner. 2.better display the different agents and the principle of agent action by tables

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54481

Title: Immunotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma: Combination strategies

Reviewer's code: 02438768

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-14 01:29

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-21 01:26

Review time: 6 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for ESPS Manuscript NO 54481 This article is interesting. however, a good review article should not merely provide just a rehash of the literature. Instead, it should have the author's own comments and opinions. In sum, the author of the review should shape the literature of a field into a story in order to make readers understand.