

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 70877

Title: Efficacy and safety of fingolimod in stroke: A systemic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475636

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-19 09:34

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-19 09:43

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Search terms in PubMed and Embase are different. Please attach syntax used in each database as supplementary. 2. As a rule of thumb, tests for funnel plot asymmetry should be used only when there are at least ten study groups 3. Some revision of the english language is needed. There are some parts of the paper where it is quite difficult to make sense of some sentences English edit will help to improve the quality of the manuscript. 4. Who are "two independent investigators"? 5. It will be better to show kappa for the selection and data extraction. Please show the data of kappa of agreement during the systematic searches. How disagreements were solved during the systematic search among two independent reviewers?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 70877

Title: Efficacy and safety of fingolimod in stroke: A systemic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05130295

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-19 05:00

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-21 06:08

**Review time:** 2 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Four studies were included, the treatment time of fingolimod was after the patients were hospitalized, but the onset time of the patients during the treatment and the basic treatment methods of the patients, such as whether to undergo endovascular treatment, were not stated. This has a significant impact on the recovery of the patients. 2.Only 4 studies were included in the article, and the author should explain the significance and necessity of making funnel plots. 3.For the clinical significance of this study, the article pointed out that "fingolimod as immunomodulator might involve the reduction of the migration of lymphocytes to central nervous system", the reference is a clinical study published on NEJM in 2018, but in fact this article did not raise this point of view, and the author should explain it.



### **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis Manuscript NO: 70877 Title: Efficacy and safety of fingolimod in stroke: A systemic review and meta-analysis Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 03475636 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: FACP, MD Professional title: Associate Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-18 Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-19 13:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-19 13:12

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I reviewed the revised manuscript and the response to reviewers' comments. Revised Manuscript is well written. All comments have been addressed and thus accepted for publication.