

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: Artificial Intelligence in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 65383

Title: The application of artificial intelligence to endoscopy on common gastrointestinal (GI) benign diseases

Reviewer's code: 02953796

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-05

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-06 05:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-06 05:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

BPG Editorial Office 6 March 2021 Manuscript ID: 65383 " The application of artificial intelligence to endoscopy on common gastrointestinal (GI) benign diseases" Dear editorial Teams This is well article . However, I have several suggestions that I think would improve the quality of research: a) Structurally article as introduction, Methods, results, table, and Discussion should be amended and revised. b) A detailed search of original articles should be expressed. c) Country, Year, Main focus and Result/conclusions of study should be considered in Table 1. d) It seems that Table 1 should be divided by diagnosis, classification and so on. e) Please discusses software tool at present and future for clinical practice? please see Azimi P, Yazdanian T, Benzel EC, Aghaei HN, Azhari S, Sadeghi S, Montazeri A. A Review on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Spinal Diseases. Asian Spine J. 2020 Aug;14(4):543-571 f) Explain and discuss what the research adds to what is already known? Parisa Azimi, MD,