ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2140

Title: Assessment of vascular invasion in gastric cancer: a comparative analysis of D2-40 and CD34 immunohistochemistry

Reviewer code: 01047712

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-31 16:55

Date reviewed: 2013-02-25 00:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This work is to evaluate and compare detection of lymphatic and blood vessel invasion by H&E and immunohistochemistry in gastric cancer specimens, and to correlate with lymph node status. It is very interesting. The results may help us to predict lymph node metastasis. It is valuable to be published. There are some questions:

1. Generally speaking, the positive rate of vessel invasion in gastric cancer was about 20 to 30% by H&E staining. But the data in this paper was higher, why?

2. Is there any difference of the prognosis among Ly-/V- N0 and Ly+/V+ N0, Ly+-/V+- N1 and Ly+/V+ N0, Ly+/V+ N0 and micrometastasis?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2140

Title: Assessment of vascular invasion in gastric cancer: a comparative analysis of D2-40 and CD34 immunohistochemistry

Reviewer code: 00632004

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-31 16:55

Date reviewed: 2013-02-26 18:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[Y] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Authors demonstrated that the immunohistochemistry of two different endothelial markers D2-40 and CD34 is useful for accurate diagnosis of vessel invasion and for predicting lymph node metastasis. This article should be published in Journal of Gasteroenterology. However, there some minor comments.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2140

Title: Assessment of vascular invasion in gastric cancer: a comparative analysis of D2-40 and CD34 immunohistochemistry

Reviewer code: 01135743

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-31 16:55

Date reviewed: 2013-02-28 07:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[Y] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is an interesting study, although it is not complicated. LVI and BVI is important prognostic factors in gastric cancer, the authors compared the IHC dectection using different antibody. The results is of help for clinical practice. There are many small errors in this manuscript, the authors should check carefully and correct them.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2140

Title: Assessment of vascular invasion in gastric cancer: a comparative analysis of D2-40 and CD34 immunohistochemistry

Reviewer code: 00212977

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-31 16:55

Date reviewed: 2013-03-02 00:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:
Nice paper. I have no issues with this work. Very well written and the findings are presented clearly.