

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 1209

**Title:** Is Positive Biopsy Result Necessary for Gastric Cancer Treatment? Two Cases With Multiple False Negative Results

**Reviewer code:** 00051382

**Science editor:** s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2012-11-21 09:11

**Date reviewed:** 2013-03-06 20:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is an interesting report. The authors suggested highly suspicious for gastric cancer for the clinical manifestations and radiologic findings but with negative biopsy results at the first time, a diagnostic laparotomy under laparoscopy and even radical gastrectomy were reasonable with the agreement of the patient after a multidisciplinary discussion team (MDT ) discussion.

1. Table 1: The percentage should be given.
2. Figures: No need to insert "Figure \*" in the figures.
3. Several English errors.
4. In text, the cited style is wrong.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 1209

**Title:** Is Positive Biopsy Result Necessary for Gastric Cancer Treatment? Two Cases With Multiple False Negative Results

**Reviewer code:** 00057100

**Science editor:** s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2012-11-21 09:11

**Date reviewed:** 2013-03-08 07:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Dear Editor: I have read with interest the manuscript entitled "Is Positive Biopsy Result Necessary for Gastric Cancer Treatment? Two Cases With Multiple False Negative Results" by Song W Chen and co workers. It is an interesting topic for the readers of WJG and also for the readers of WJGE. The manuscript can be accepted for publication in either journal after minor language polishing.