

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2558

Title: WHY IL-10 SUPPLEMENTATION DOES NOT WORK IN CROHN' S DISEASE PATIENTS

Reviewer code: 00036420

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 11:57

Date reviewed: 2013-03-03 04:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B (Very good)	[] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[] No records	[] Rejection
[] Grade D (Fair)	[] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E (Poor)		[] No records	[] Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Minor Comment: Page 6: change "we can hypothesis" to "we can hypothesize" A table representing the major animal and human data would be useful for the reader.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2558

Title: WHY IL-10 SUPPLEMENTATION DOES NOT WORK IN CROHN' S DISEASE PATIENTS

Reviewer code: 00036514

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 11:57

Date reviewed: 2013-03-05 23:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

My comments are attached.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2558

Title: WHY IL-10 SUPPLEMENTATION DOES NOT WORK IN CROHN' S DISEASE PATIENTS

Reviewer code: 00359244

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 11:57

Date reviewed: 2013-03-12 02:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a nice review article and it is pleasant to read it through.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2558

Title: WHY IL-10 SUPPLEMENTATION DOES NOT WORK IN CROHN' S DISEASE PATIENTS

Reviewer code: 00503006

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 11:57

Date reviewed: 2013-03-13 23:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Major critique: 1. The authors miss to mention the most important evidence for the role of IL-10 in intestinal homeostasis and inflammation, that is the development of early and severe IBD-like disease in individuals carrying mutations in the il-10r and il-10 genes. Relevant references are and N Engl J Med. 2009;361(21):2033-45 and Lancet. 2010 ;376(9748):1272. These should be included in the present review and commented upon by the authors. 2. The review is somehow biased and data are presented in a manner that overemphasizes the importance of IL-10 in IBD, whilst downgrading the mostly negative results that have been obtained thus far from its use in therapeutic trials. Furthermore, the presence of IBD-associated mutations have not found their way to the clinic, yet, as is the case with more prominent genetic associations (autophagy genes, Nod2) as well. 3. As the title of the article is “Why IL-10 Supplementation does not work in Crohn’ s Disease Patients” the authors should be more clear in their statements as to why treatment with rhIL-10 has failed so far and the ways that problems could be overcome. 4. The authors present the highly conflicting results from the literature regarding the serum levels of IL-10 in IBD. They should comment on the possible explanations of this discrepancies. 5. Data from the literature on the mucosal expression of IL-10 in IBD should be included as it is of far greater importance than serum levels. 5. There are several errors in syntax of the text, with very frequent use of commas where period should be inserted. The authors should re-scan their document for grammatical errors and correct them.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2558

Title: WHY IL-10 SUPPLEMENTATION DOES NOT WORK IN CROHN' S DISEASE PATIENTS

Reviewer code: 00503006

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-01 11:57

Date reviewed: 2013-03-13 23:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Major critique: 1. The authors miss to mention the most important evidence for the role of IL-10 in intestinal homeostasis and inflammation, that is the development of early and severe IBD-like disease in individuals carrying mutations in the il-10r and il-10 genes. Relevant references are and N Engl J Med. 2009;361(21):2033-45 and Lancet. 2010 ;376(9748):1272. These should be included in the present review and commented upon by the authors. 2. The review is somehow biased and data are presented in a manner that overemphasizes the importance of IL-10 in IBD, whilst downgrading the mostly negative results that have been obtained thus far from its use in therapeutic trials. Furthermore, the presence of IBD-associated mutations have not found their way to the clinic, yet, as is the case with more prominent genetic associations (autophagy genes, Nod2) as well. 3. As the title of the article is “Why IL-10 Supplementation does not work in Crohn’ s Disease Patients” the authors should be more clear in their statements as to why treatment with rhIL-10 has failed so far and the ways that problems could be overcome. 4. The authors present the highly conflicting results from the literature regarding the serum levels of IL-10 in IBD. They should comment on the possible explanations of this discrepancies. 5. Data from the literature on the mucosal expression of IL-10 in IBD should be included as it is of far greater importance than serum levels. 5. There are several errors in syntax of the text, with very frequent use of commas where period should be inserted. The authors should re-scan their document for grammatical errors and correct them.