

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3398

Title: Endoscopy and Polyps-Diagnostic and Therapeutic Advances in Management”

Reviewer code: 00048669

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-04-28 10:41

Date reviewed: 2013-05-01 17:37

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, thank you for presenting the nice reviews on colonic polyps, their diagnosis and new treatment options. I have some comments: 1. The order of the different chapters should be changed. The diagnostic and therapeutic chapters should be placed together. I would suggest: Introduction --> quality parameters --> polyps --> Polyp Classification (which is Polyp detection so far) --> chromoendoscopy --> NBI --> Treatment (EMR-->ESD-->combined options). 2. In the EMR chapter the study of Moss et al, Gastroenterology 2011 should be mentioned and cited. 3. ESD chapter The role of ESD should be discussed especially for its use outside Asia. It should be pointed out that EMR is the current standard and that ESD is a technique which should be reserved for special lesions and which should be reserved for specialized endoscopists in few centers today. The learning curve should be discussed for non-Asian endoscopists (Probst et al; Endoscopy 2012). It should be pointed out that the role of ESD is not clearly defined so far and that studies are needed comparing EMR and ESD outside Asia where endoscopists are not familiar with ESD. ...After identification of a lesion, a mixture of 1% hyaluronic acid solution and 10% glycerin solution is injected.... -> please mention that different solutions are possible.One complication unique to this procedure is the inability to complete the procedure secondary to patient restlessness from abdominal distension and pain (12-32%), requiring additional conscious sedation or even general anesthesia.... --> this is not a unique complication of ESD but of any long-lasting procedure. please mention that the use of CO2 insufflation is helpful for this problem. 4. In the Kudo classification 1-7 nr.5 is missing. 5. please point out more clearly that combined options (CLER, CELS) should be only reserved for lesions not resectable with standard techniques. 6. In the chapter Polyp classification the classification of "laterally spreading tumors" with its differentiation of granular type and non-granular type lesions



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

could improve the quality of the chapter (different rates of malignancy in th different growth patterns)
Best regards