

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2040

Title: Retroperitoneal Cavernous Hemangioma Resected by a Pylorus Preserving Pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 01207047

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-24 11:22

Date reviewed: 2013-01-29 16:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A (Excellent)	[] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[] No records	[] Rejection
[] Grade D (Fair)	[] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
[] Grade E (Poor)		[] No records	[] Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

-The presented case in the manuscript is rare, interesting and worthy of publication. -There are many grammatical and spelling mistakes (including references part and tables). The manuscript needs English revision.

- The authors should give more detail about microscopic examination, and mention about the number of slides / cm of the lesion. Extensive sampling is important for the differential diagnosis of a vascular lesion in the retroperitoneum (To capture the different components of the lesion). -They should mention about other vascular neoplasms/lesions of the retroperitoneum in the literature (Lymphangiomyomatosis, cystic lymphangioma, epithelioid and kaposiform hemangioendothelioma, angiosarcoma etc.) and the differential diagnosis. -The authors gave information about the results of CD34 and CD31. Please give information about the D2-40 immunohistochemistry results (for the differentiation of lymphatic lesions) -It will be better to delete table 1 and related information in the text.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2040

Title: Retroperitoneal Cavernous Hemangioma Resected by a Pylorus Preserving Pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 02445571

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-24 11:22

Date reviewed: 2013-02-02 19:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The author reported a rare retroperitoneal hemangioma. This is an uncommon case with invasion to the surrounding organ and resulting in the partial resection of surrounding organs. The case is interesting and valuable to report. However, the writing is too descriptive with too many English mistakes.