

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4063

**Title:** Clinical observations and predicted function of the appendix explain the relationship between appendectomy and C. difficile colitis

**Reviewer code:** 00029041

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-13 09:38

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-14 12:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review of the relationship between appendectomy and C. difficile colitis. Please put the reference numbers according to the instructions to authors.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4063

**Title:** Clinical observations and predicted function of the appendix explain the relationship between appendectomy and C. difficile colitis

**Reviewer code:** 00506591

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-13 09:38

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-24 22:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. It is unclear what the purpose of this manuscript is. I cannot tell if it is an opinion piece / editorial, review article or what. I found the historical section interesting, though quite verbose. Please guide the reader early on in the manuscript in regards of what your purpose for the manuscript is. 2. You call this manuscript a "clinical observation" but I did not see much clinical observation. I saw a literature review with some opinion. 3. The focused discussion and opinion specific to C diff were well written and concise. 4. Figures were impressive and helpful