

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2009

Title: Pancreatic paracoccidioidomycosis simulating malignant neoplasia in the head of pancreas: case report.

Reviewer code: 00503542

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-01-21 15:58

Date reviewed: 2013-01-30 13:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

(none)

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a report of a rare case of pancreatic paracoccidioidomycosis. Although several cases like this may be reported in some local journals, this seems the first report written in English. In fact, Paganini et al. (reference number 3 of this manuscript) reported 2 cases of liver and periampullary paracoccidioidomycosis but did not clearly describe pancreatic lesions. Major Criticism 1. In the abstract, general information of paracoccidioidomycosis is introduced but there is no specific description of the case other than “simulating a malignant neoplasia in the head of pancreas”. There may be rough description of the case and conclusive sentence(s). 2. Several technical terms may not be familiar or commonly used in English papers. For example, “ganglia” (page 3) is commonly used for nerve knot but not for other tumors. “badly defined boundaries” and “badly delimited” may be “poorly-defined margin”. Does “endovenous medium” mean blood? “cohes granuloma” may be “cohesive granuloma”. “tumoration” seems Spanish English. “biliary vessels” may be “biliary tract”. 3. Several parts of the abstract and introduction is not exactly the same but pretty similar to the sentences of ref. #3. So, this reference (and maybe others) needs to be carefully drawn. Minor Criticism 1. In “a brother who was infected with pulmonary tuberculosis (sic).”, what is “(sic)” ? 2. In “(titles of 1/8 and 1/16)”, “titles” should be “titers”. What is “Serology for Pbmycosis”. Please describe it briefly. 3. What is “PPD” (page 6, line 3)? 4. Reference number 16 (page 6, line 1) does not appear in the references. 5. In the table 1, what is “RNI” ?



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No.62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892 Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: bpg@baishideng.com [http: //www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)
