



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 3760

Title: Ectopic liver - different manifestations, one solution

Reviewer code: 00000507

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-05-21 19:56

Date reviewed: 2013-05-26 17:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This report of two cases of ectopic liver may be interesting to the Hepatology community, but several aspects must be addressed before the manuscript is reconsidered

1. Page 5 'fibrinous changes': Does it mean 'fibrotic'? Please provide full pathology report of the specimen. Better quality picture and staining are needed
2. Which type of ectopic liver are the ones described, according to the different classifications?
3. CT scan: Please provide also an axial image and not just a coronal reconstruction.
4. Spleen biopsy: Why just a suspicion? Were there not full criteria for HCC? Please show biopsy or histology after surgery
5. PET is rarely positive in HCC: please comment
6. what is a 'toxonutritive hepatopathy'? Does this mean alcoholic liver disease?
7. Clearly state whether liver was negative for HCC. Please provide histology of the orthotopic liver tissue, if available.
8. The discussion is too long. It should be more focused on the aspects reported in the present paper, especially the other reports of malignancy in an ectopic liver. A lengthy review of ectopic liver in general is inappropriate.
9. page 8: Please insert a reference about incidence of HCC in ectopic liver in Japan.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 3760

Title: Ectopic liver - different manifestations, one solution

Reviewer code: 00053844

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-05-21 19:56

Date reviewed: 2013-05-30 09:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The manuscript entitled "Ectopic liver - different manifestations, one solution", has been evaluated in terms of acceptability for the journal. The topic is very interestingly. Rationale for systemic therapy by sorafenib in Case 2 appears unclear and should be clarified and discussed. Readability is not easy. The language needs polishing.