

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3377

**Title:** Clinical applications of next-generation sequencing in colorectal cancers

**Reviewer code:** 00505544

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-04-26 19:47

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-10 03:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have reviewed a manuscript entitled "Clinical applications of next-generation sequencing in colorectal cancers". It is a detailed review of publications related to NGS-based CRC studies in various genomic aspects. I have some minor concerns: At page 3 "human cancer types (reviewed in ref[12,13])" should be corrected as "human cancer types [12,13]) At page 4 invasive adenocarcinoma (reviewed in [4],[19]; Figure 1). Should be corrected as "invasive adenocarcinoma (Figure 1)[[4],[19]. Please prefer to use "e.g." or "i.e." throughout the text, not both of them. At page 5, "glioblastoma multiforme in 2008[23] (Table 3)." Should be corrected as "glioblastoma multiforme in 2008 (Table 3)[23]. " "microsatellite instability (MSI)" abbreviation was given at page 5, but there is replication at page 8. It should be given at just where it was just mentioned. The reference numbers should be given after first author's names. ( i.e. Kim et al. [72]) Reference style should be corrected according to journal style. There should be a list of abbreviations.