

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4603

Title: Restrictive Versus Liberal Transfusion for Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer code: 01047712

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-07-10 10:24

Date reviewed: 2013-07-29 00:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To authors: This study is very interesting. It is valuable to be published. It is fit to be published.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4603

Title: Restrictive Versus Liberal Transfusion for Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer code: 00503857

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-07-10 10:24

Date reviewed: 2013-08-02 01:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

After the publication of Villanueva C et al. in NEJM, I believe that restrictive transfusion for acute upper GI bleeding will become a hot topic in recent years. The authors conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials regarding this issue. The general English writing is good. However, I have major concern to this article. 1. Three earlier randomized controlled trials had a relatively small sample size, as compared with that of the study of Villanueva C et al. That's why this meta-analysis provides no new information. More future randomized controlled trials are needed to conduct a meta-analysis.