

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5100

**Title:** New progress in the endoscopic treatment for esophageal diseases

**Reviewer code:** 00742022

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-15 14:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-20 01:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I have found examples in your manuscript of verbatim copying of prior work. This is not allowed except perhaps in the case of authors' own preliminary papers (abstract, conference, workshop, symposia, theses and dissertations). Examples - Some or all of the manuscript is related to the authors' journal paper not cited: Techniques in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Volume 15, Issue 3, July 2013, Pages 160-163 Offshoots of peroral endoscopic myotomy: Submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection, pyloromyotomy, and beyond ☆ Quan-Lin Li, MD, Ping-Hong Zhou, MD Other authors copied verbatim - Gastrointest Endosc. 2011 Dec;74(6):1194-200. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2011.07.039. Epub 2011 Oct 1. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for treatment of esophageal submucosal tumors originating from the muscularis propria layer. Shi Q, Zhong YS, Yao LQ, Zhou PH, Xu MD, Wang P. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for superficial esophageal ... by S Ono - ?2012 Endoscopic submucosal dissection for superficial duodenal ... by T Honda - ?2009 Endoscopic submucosal dissection of esophageal cancer using the ... by Y Kawahara - ?2013 Endoscopic submucosal dissection for superficial esophageal ... by S Ono - ?2012 Clinical Impact of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Gastric ... by WF Chen - ?2012 Thieme E-Journals - Endoscopy / Full Text - Georg Thieme Verlag Offshoots of peroral endoscopic myotomy: Submucosal tunneling .

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5100

**Title:** New progress in the endoscopic treatment for esophageal diseases

**Reviewer code:** 01799429

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-15 14:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-21 15:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described recent topics of endoscopic treatment in esophagus. They summarized endoscopic treatments based on ESD briefly, and it was adequate as "Topic Highlight". I have some minor questions and comments. 1. In ESD section, hyaluronic acid is commonly used as injection solution, and coagulation forceps is mainly used for coagulation of vessels in the artificial ulcer. 2. The lesion presented in Figure 1 was too small. Such small lesions were good candidate of EMR. Please replace this case for larger one. 3. Some important papers were not listed in References section. For example, POEM was developed by Dr. Haruhiro Inoue, but his paper was not included. We should give the priority to the pioneer.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5100

**Title:** New progress in the endoscopic treatment for esophageal diseases

**Reviewer code:** 00074346

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-15 14:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-22 16:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I read your paper interestingly. This paper is very significant. However, there are some problems. I can not understand the methods of 'Thoracoscope assisted tunnel endoscopic resection'. You should correct the contents 'the detailed steps'. And if you can, please attach the figure or the scheme of 'Thoracoscope assisted tunnel endoscopic resection'.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5100

**Title:** New progress in the endoscopic treatment for esophageal diseases

**Reviewer code:** 00504218

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-15 14:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-24 10:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a quite valuable paper focused on new therapeutic endoscopy for esophageal diseases. I believe that this is a well written paper in which a comprehensive analysis has been done and it is described systematically. I have no serious criticisms regarding contents. It will be informative and contribute not only to readers in the field of gastrointestinal endoscopy. Thank you very much for involving me to this opportunity.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5100

**Title:** New progress in the endoscopic treatment for esophageal diseases

**Reviewer code:** 00504637

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-15 14:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-24 22:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

It was an original, instructive and readable study. I learned many thing by it since it's not directly related my specialization. Best regards.