

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3810

Title: Comparative study of Clinical features and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients with different ethnicity in northwest China

Reviewer code: 00505492

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-05-25 19:20

Date reviewed: 2013-06-05 22:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author: The authors present an article entitled "Comparative study of clinical features and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients with different ethnicity in northwest China". This is an interesting scientific paper that demonstrates ethnic disparities in colorectal cancer specific survival and provides some valuable informations for communities and public health programmes. I have some suggestion for the authors: ? Please review the punctuation (e.g. capital letters after the periods). ? There are a some typographical errors in the manuscript which should be reviewed and corrected ("disparites" in the running title, "younger" in the abstract, "specipfic" on p 2, etc.). ? Table : please correct Left and Right semicolon to Left and Right hemicolon

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3810

Title: Comparative study of Clinical features and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients with different ethnicity in northwest China

Reviewer code: 02457829

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-05-25 19:20

Date reviewed: 2013-07-22 10:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed a retrospective study to assess clinical features and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients with different ethnicity in their medical center in Xinjiang province. Overall, the study is well organized, the number of the sample is relatively large (n=1421), the statistics seems abundant. However, there might be some mistakes or unclarities, some of which are listed below: 1. Why is the OS measured by the authors shorter than DFS? 2. It's noticed that the authors attempted to compare as much clinical features as possible between the Han and Uyghur patient group, but some variables might not be so useful without additional context. For example, the authors stated that there were more A blood type in Han/Chinese patient group, and more B blood type in Uyghur patient group. But is it investigated that whether Han/Chinese have more A blood type in the overall population? 3. There are some disaccord of information between the maintext and the table. For instance, "cadre (office worker) and physical workers were the most in Han/Chinese group (70.1% vs 43.1%) and farmers and herders were the majority in Uyghur group (42.7% vs 13%)." The numbers on the table was 42.2%, 27.9% and 42.7%, though. Besides, re-drafting of the manuscript is suggested, perhaps with the help of an English writer. The authors need to pay attention that, for example, each letter at the beginning of a sentence should be capital. Overall, this is an useful paper. It is very time-consuming to organize such large-sampled follow-up data. The paper still needs some revisions, but the authors made good efforts this far.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3810

Title: Comparative study of Clinical features and prognosis in colorectal cancer patients with different ethnicity in northwest China

Reviewer code: 02459006

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-05-25 19:20

Date reviewed: 2013-07-25 21:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of the present study investigated the clinical characteristics and survival of CRC patients among different ethnicity in the area of Xin Jiang. The current results showed that Uyghur CRC patients are associated with much younger age, more aggressive histopathologic characteristics and have significantly worse prognosis than Han/Chinese patients. This study addressed an interesting topic. However, the language is poor throughout and requires proof-reading with native speaker before resubmission. Several contents is not clear in the current manuscript. Overall, this lessened the enthusiasm for the manuscript. Specific points: 1. You should add the analysis the effect of potential factors on the difference among different ethnic clinical character of CRC patients. 2. You should not copy the other studies for the lots of contents, especially in the section of Introduction. 3. Several words spelling is wrong. Such as: In the running title, "Disparites" should be corrected as "disparities"; In the section of abstract, "there" should be corrected as "There". and so on. 4. In figure legends, you should provide detailed descriptions for the figures themselves.