

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 4046

Title: Endoscopic management of complications to chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer code: 00053888

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-06-13 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-06-14 04:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The authors appear to have started with the intention of doing a meta-analysis and then turned the paper into a review of management of pancreatic pseudocysts and benign biliary strictures. Much of the quoted data on pseudocyst management appears related to pseudocysts developing after an attack of acute (occasional necrotising) pancreatitis and the second section does appear to be the management of benign biliary strictures most of which will be related to chronic pancreatitis. The 2 topics do not necessarily sit well together. There is no logical conclusion and the format of the paper is strange.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 4046

Title: Endoscopic management of complications to chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer code: 00009064

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-06-13 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-06-14 21:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

That this is review article rather than an analysis of specific studies should be clarified in the objective of the paper. The 2nd para is misplaced and redundant. At the most, a single sentence can indicate that treatment of uncomplicated CP for pain relief is not included in this review. Treatment approach for complications of CP other than PPC and biliary stricture, viz cancer and segmental portal hypertension (secondary to splenic vein obstruction) should also be mentioned, even if to say that endoscopic approach has not been found useful in them. The endoscopic approach to infected and suspected malignant pseudocyst should also be mentioned.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 4046

Title: Endoscopic management of complications to chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer code: 00044945

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-06-13 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-06-17 05:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Interesting paper dealing with endoscopic management of complications caused by chronic pancreatitis with special focus on biliary strictures and pseudocysts. The paper is well written and the review is rather comprehensive. When the authors addressed the theme of pseudocysts they should exclude the results of endoscopic treatment of pancreatic collections complicating acute pancreatitis.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 4046

Title: Endoscopic management of complications to chronic pancreatitis

Reviewer code: 00037961

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-06-13 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-06-20 04:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is an interesting review on current endoscopic management of complications to chronic pancreatitis. The authors have analyzed the publications in English language journals employing the keywords such as CP-related pancreatic pseudocysts and biliary strictures. The results show that pancreatic pseudocysts and biliary strictures complicate the course of CP in 20 to 40% and 3-23% of patients respectively. The authors described the results nicely and the resulting complication as derived from the literature. The authors provided information on the recent advances in the endoscopic treatment of CP-related complications. Major comments. 1) A conclusive paragraph was missing on page 10 after the methods section on both of systems have been described 2) Will it be possible to develop a Table based on these two separate systems as derived from the literature search and include it at the end of concluding paragraph