

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4332

Title: Hepatobiliary manifestations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: the gut, the drugs and the liver.

Reviewer code: 02445239

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-27 17:43

Date reviewed: 2013-06-28 10:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written review focussing all aspects of IBD and liver diseases in a precise manner. Covering up to date existing literature with regard to IBD and liver disease

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4332

Title: Hepatobiliary manifestations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: the gut, the drugs and the liver.

Reviewer code: 02446452

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-27 17:43

Date reviewed: 2013-07-04 20:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Structure: It would help a reader if the paper were structured with clear subheadings / paragraphs within topic sections which might include Description, Aetiology, Diagnosis, Complications, Prognosis and Treatment for all disorders described if there is sufficient literature to warrant this, as there appeared to be for the PSC section. Also, some sections were not covered as extensively as others. Is this due to a lack of literature? Why are some section headings featuring two disorders, especially when they are reviewed independently in the text (e.g. Portal vein thrombosis and liver abscess) **Content:** Aside from the above comment, the paper appears to be a review which is well written in terms of clinical content and would be a valuable addition to existing literature in this field. A description of the literature search would be beneficial. I also think that a change of title to replace the text after the colon with "a review" would be beneficial to potential readers. **Grammar and formatting:** There are abbreviations not defined in the text, inconsistent use of CAPS and inconsistent tabbing of paragraphs. **Typos:** 1) Page 5, 2nd paragraph, 1st line should be "... as it comes (?) with a higher incidence..."? 2) page 15, 2nd paragraph, 1st line "Hep C reactivation is a major health related in some cases with death" - doesn't make sense. 3) page 16, 1st para, line 6 - remove the "a)" from the start of the sentence. 4) p20, 2nd from last line is missing "for" from the "it is recommended the early use..."