

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5759

Title: Biliary casts after liver transplantation: morphology and biochemical analysis

Reviewer code: 00504591

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-04-25 21:07

Date reviewed: 2013-07-13 07:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled, "Biliary casts after liver transplantation: morphology and biochemical analysis" by Yang Y et al., performed immuno-histological investigation of biliary casts taken from patients who had previously undergone liver transplantation. It is an interesting study. My comments were as follows. Comments 1. This article should be presented as a case report. 2. How many casts were investigated? Three? Anyway, patient clinical presentation should be made in relation with findings of casts. Please present findings in a case-by-case fashion.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5759

Title: Biliary casts after liver transplantation: morphology and biochemical analysis

Reviewer code: 00504396

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-04-25 21:07

Date reviewed: 2013-07-22 18:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review comments to the manuscript entitled "Biliary casts after liver transplantation: morphology and biochemical analysis" submitted to World Journal of Transplantation. The paper showed morphology of biliary casts in detail. I would like to congratulate the authors for their detailed work, however before acceptance of the paper, a revision is needed. 1) Patient's demographics should be shown, at least age and gender. Pls give here mean and range. 2) If the association between acute rejection and biliary cast formation is discussed, the following data are of importance. ?Onset of patient's symptoms ?Whether acute rejection is diagnosed or not in patients with biliary cast and the definition for it ?When biliary cast formation was diagnosed. 3) Some words and sentence structures are difficult to understand. A professional editing service is recommended.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5759

Title: Biliary casts after liver transplantation: morphology and biochemical analysis

Reviewer code: 00050627

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-04-25 21:07

Date reviewed: 2013-07-29 01:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting study. Please answer the queries raised in the manuscript.