

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4934

Title: Primary adenosquamous carcinoma of the esophagus

Reviewer code: 02546506

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-08-02 22:26

Date reviewed: 2013-08-12 23:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer Number ID:02546506 This is a well written and thorough coverage of this important topic, I have a few recommendations however: 1、 There are several grammatical errors in the paper. 2、 ASC of the esophagus is a rare subtype of esophageal cancer containing coexisting elements of infiltrating AC and SCC. The clinicopathological characters and overall survival of ASC and SCC were compared in this manuscript, the clinicopathological characters and overall survival of ASC and AC should also be compared. 3、 in this manuscript, 3785 of the 4015 esophageal carcinoma patients were histologically diagnosed as SCC. Of these patients, 346 patients were received neoadjuvant therapy. However, in the 37 ASC patients, there was no patient received neoadjuvant therapy. Why? It is a coincidence or there are other reasons? 4、 "In multivariate analysis, only adjuvant radiotherapy (P=0.028) was found to be independently prognostic factors." The radiotherapy was selected randomize or according some criteria? It is very important and should be emphasized in the manuscript. 5、 in this manuscript, The overall survival of ASC and SCC were compared. One patient was lost to follow-up (2.7%) in the ASC group. How about the follow-up rate of the SCC group? It is very important and should be emphasized in the manuscript. Pending these major and minor revisions I would recommend this paper for publication.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4934

Title: Primary adenosquamous carcinoma of the esophagus

Reviewer code: 02446368

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-08-02 22:26

Date reviewed: 2013-08-15 18:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Chen SB et al investigated the clinical characteristics, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of 37 cases ASC of the esophagus form 4015 esophageal carcinoma patients received surgical resection between January 1995 and June 2012. They concluded that prognosis of EASC is poorer than ESCC. The paper is intresting for Gastroenterologist. The authors tried to fulfill the criteria for good publication.