

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6069

**Title:** Colorectal Cancer: Current imaging methods and future perspectives for the diagnosis, staging and therapeutic response

**Reviewer code:** 02533676

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-01 20:25

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-11 09:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review the authors approach the role of current diagnostic methods in the primary diagnosis and staging and the restaging of colorectal cancer with different imaging modalities. Special focus is put on the potential of recent diagnostic developments with good pictures. I believe this is a solid work with important implications for cancer imaging diagnosis and I am suggesting the acceptance of this manuscript.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6069

**Title:** Colorectal Cancer: Current imaging methods and future perspectives for the diagnosis, staging and therapeutic response

**Reviewer code:** 00531841

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-01 20:25

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-11 17:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript discusses the current imaging methods of colorectal cancer which has significant importance and novelty. Presentation and readability of the manuscript is good and can help readers acknowledge new progress of this field. But some revisions are needed as follows: 1. The abstract is too simple to delineate the contents well. Furthermore, it does not mention the conclusions and future perspectives. 2. Contents: The manuscript has only described the current developments. Concerning the structure of the paper, there is only summary instead of discussion and conclusion. Deep analysis and discussion should be made.