

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5003

Title: Prognosis by age and metastatic node ratio of patients with gastric cancer and solitary lymph node metastasis

Reviewer code: 00227359

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-10 12:39

Date reviewed: 2013-08-10 14:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I added the comments as separate file.

This is a large retrospective analysis of gastric cancer patients and aims to evaluate the role of age on prognosis with respect to MLN%. However, its reading is not easy and the results are confusing. I believe that a Table that compare the age groups like below will make the manuscript clear for the reader.

Characteristics	Age group 1	Age group 1	Age group 1	Total
Gender				
Bormann				
T level				
Harvested LN				
Ratio (%)				
...				

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5003

Title: Prognosis by age and metastatic node ratio of patients with gastric cancer and solitary lymph node metastasis

Reviewer code: 00724342

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-10 12:39

Date reviewed: 2013-08-25 06:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

authors thoroughly explained the problem of prognosis by age and metastatic node ratio of patients with gastric cancer and solitary lymph node metastasis. They have described, in detail, the research results, as well as, the discussion. The conclusion was a logical consequence of the research results. The paper is very good.