

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5047

Title: A Pancreatic Solid-Cystic Desmoid Tumor: Case Report and Literature Review

Reviewer code: 00039529

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-12 14:29

Date reviewed: 2013-08-13 01:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good casde report! Ed Bradley

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5047

Title: A Pancreatic Solid-Cystic Desmoid Tumor: Case Report and Literature Review

Reviewer code: 00002850

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-12 14:29

Date reviewed: 2013-08-14 13:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described a case of desmoid tumor accompanied with cystic changes arising from pancreas. They concluded that the cystic part of the tumor originated from the pancreatic ducts entrapped within tumor. Unfortunately, this case report is not convincing as a rare clinical manifestation of desmoid tumor. Followings are my concerns; 1. In page 2, introduction section, desmoid tumor is written as a "benign" tumor, with mortality rate near 10%. Usually, such tumor could not be defined as benign lesion. In addition, proper citation is not provided for the mortality of desmoid tumor. 2. "CA-199" should be spelled as "CA19-9". 3. In the evaluation of imaging studies, tumor vascularity is important for differential diagnosis. Such information is not available in the manuscript. Figure 3 also lacks the information about the phase of contrast enhancement. 4. Result of immunohistochemical analysis must be presented in additional figure. 5. In page 6, discussion section, they mentioned that immunostaining of the β -catenin is efficient in distinguishing DTs from other lesions. To my knowledge, nuclear β -catenin staining is a characteristic feature of SPN. If it is so efficient, I wonder why authors did not perform β -catenin staining in this case.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5047

Title: A Pancreatic Solid-Cystic Desmoid Tumor: Case Report and Literature Review

Reviewer code: 00057400

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-12 14:29

Date reviewed: 2013-08-18 22:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this is a well-written report of an interesting case. the only clarification needed is that the patient is reported as recurrence free at 40 months in the abstract, 20 months in the body of the text, and 18 months in the figure legend.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5047

Title: A Pancreatic Solid-Cystic Desmoid Tumor: Case Report and Literature Review

Reviewer code: 00051344

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-08-12 14:29

Date reviewed: 2013-08-19 05:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Global: The theme is very original, there are only a few reports. Very good follow-up. Title: Ok
Introduction What time of follow-up the 10% mortality? Case reports The English must be polished
Discussion Ok, very good revision References Must be actualized for 2013. Figure 1 Not very good quality. Figure 5 Put an arrow or letters in the cyst Figure 6 Change the colour of the identification letters