

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5025

**Title:** LIVER TRANSPLANTATION FOR HILAR CHOLANGIOCARCINOMA

**Reviewer code:** 00005191

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-09 11:32

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-09 19:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well-written and well-researched. All the pertinent previous studies on the matter are quoted and taken into consideration. An international perspective is considered and conclusions are well-documented. I consider the manuscript worth publishing for the useful information that it brings to liver transplant surgeons for the treatment early-stage KT with and without neoadjuvancy. The Authors might want to review some minor language issues. For example, the past tense should be used at times instead of the present tense, when reporting on past experiences.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5025

**Title:** LIVER TRANSPLANTATION FOR HILAR CHOLANGIOCARCINOMA

**Reviewer code:** 00039387

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-09 11:32

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-09 21:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review on the topic, valuable for the readers of the Journal.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5025

**Title:** LIVER TRANSPLANTATION FOR HILAR CHOLANGIOCARCINOMA

**Reviewer code:** 00054465

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-09 11:32

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-12 01:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very comprehensive review of the literature and outcomes related to the management of hilar cholangiocarcinoma and the role of liver transplantation. It is a hard read following study after study and I would prefer to see each study presented under a subheading. Then presented in a similar way: size of study, interventions and outcomes. Then as a conclusion I would like to see a recommendation from the authors based on the data they have presented what is the best approach in their opinion? It would seem to be Neo adjunct therapy, plus chemo plus strict selection criteria and LT. I would like the authors conclusion following this very complete review.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5025

**Title:** LIVER TRANSPLANTATION FOR HILAR CHOLANGIOCARCINOMA

**Reviewer code:** 00013175

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-09 11:32

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-23 16:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors, Robles R. et al., well summarize the past and current status of liver transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma in this review manuscript. All the relevant previous studies on the topic are properly included and discussed, then the authors conclude that correct staging, priority on the MELD and living donor liver transplantation (LT) may improve the result. However, I feel that a more detailed recommendation might make this manuscript more informative for the readers.