

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4078

**Title:** Epidemiology and clinical features of cystic hydatidosis in western Sicily. A ten-year review.

**Reviewer code:** 00503927

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-13 11:22

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-28 01:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is suitable for publication on the World Journal of Gastroenterology. English must be reviewed by a native speaker. The article reports interesting results regarding treatment and epidemiological information. Would be more interesting if more patients were included on the study. The sample size is quite small. Although, I recommend this article for publication. Images of CE at surgery, as well as X-rays should be included.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4078

**Title:** Epidemiology and clinical features of cystic hydatidosis in western Sicily. A ten-year review.

**Reviewer code:** 00717554

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-13 11:22

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-06 01:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I would like to mention the following comments: 1-Which aspect of Epidemiology: descriptive, analytic, spatial or ...? 2-Too short introduction. 3- How many of patients were undiagnosed or under diagnosed? 4-Was there any study on animal(especially dog) population? Checking dogs? 5-Table 1: which comorbidities? IHD/Stroke/ Cancer/DM/hypertension or ....? Good Luck