

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5393

**Title:** S-1-based therapy versus S-1 monotherapy in advanced gastric cancer: a meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 00227397

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-05 09:24

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-17 18:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1) Title and whole manuscript should include "combination" instead of "based" to read: S-1 combination therapy versus S-1 monotherapy. 2) The number of days of OS and OFS should be clearly stated. This is a serious omission from the body of the text, the summary and conclusions. 3) The additional financial cost of the drugs and hospital stays for combination therapy should be estimated and included. 4) the abbreviation ORR is introduced on page 5 with the explanatory words "overall response rate" only appearing several lines later.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5393

**Title:** S-1-based therapy versus S-1 monotherapy in advanced gastric cancer: a meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 00503526

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-05 09:24

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-19 18:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting report of S-1 therapy for gastric cancer. It is acceptable.