

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5902

Title: Current testing strategies for Hepatitis C Virus infection in blood donors and the way forward

Reviewer code: 00070845

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 16:46

Date reviewed: 2013-11-13 10:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good review. I believe it would be useful to discuss the impact and continuing use in at least some countries of exclusion of potential donors with history of hepatitis or other liver diseases as well as possible risk factors such as drug abuse. This has played a historical role as well as serological screening.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5902

Title: Current testing strategies for Hepatitis C Virus infection in blood donors and the way forward

Reviewer code: 00608249

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 16:46

Date reviewed: 2013-11-26 01:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reviews an important topic but certain sections need to be reformatted to increase clarity. The information is presented in the form of continuous text whereas figures and tables can be added to increase the quality of presentation. For examples figures should be included to demonstrate the principles of each assay (EIA, ELISA, NAT) and tables should be added to describe the main differences in terms of sensitivity, specificity, main studies-references etc.. In addition the historical data can be minimized. Finally a table summarizing all the methods and comparison of availability in developed vs non developed countries would be useful.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5902

Title: Current testing strategies for Hepatitis C Virus infection in blood donors and the way forward

Reviewer code: 02444878

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 16:46

Date reviewed: 2013-12-03 19:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for authors. This review article on current status of screening tests for HCV infection in blood donors is very well written and readable. The sensitivity of various HCV testing methods is described well. Modalities for testing for developed countries and resource-limited settings are described in great detail. This manuscript merits publication.