

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6088

Title: Classifying extrahepatic bile duct metachronous carcinoma by de novo neoplasia site

Reviewer code: 02441666

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-01 22:00

Date reviewed: 2013-10-02 06:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good paper and will be useful for the readers.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6088

Title: Classifying extrahepatic bile duct metachronous carcinoma by de novo neoplasia site

Reviewer code: 00503925

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-01 22:00

Date reviewed: 2013-10-24 01:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting descriptive case study worth publishing.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6088

Title: Classifying extrahepatic bile duct metachronous carcinoma by de novo neoplasia site

Reviewer code: 00503931

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-01 22:00

Date reviewed: 2013-11-08 16:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments To Authors: The article shows how important for patients prognosis and treatment is to distinguish loco regional recurrence of cholangiocellular carcinoma from metachronous cholangiocellular carcinoma. Discrimination of these two different medical situations may be sometimes very hard. Classification of metachronous cholangiocellular carcinoma and proper follow up in long time period should help in this discrimination and treatment process. I am not sure if the author properly concluded this main theme – meaning follow up intervals – how long to follow up ?, what radiological method to use ... In the introduction of this paper I am missing the definition or criteria of synchronous, metachronous and local recurrence of tumor. There is important discrimination interval of 6 months which is not mentioned. Later in the text in discussion there are mentioned criteria made by Warren and Gates, clear to the author but not clear to the reader. While the metachronous carcinoma is rare, it would be interesting to know how rare it is, meaning what is the number of cholangiocellular carcinoma resections to find such rare condition... It is not really clear if there is only surgical level of treatment or there was also other - oncologic therapy included, also not clear if there was some known risk factor involved in pathogenesis of this process (ulcerative colitis, DM ...)