



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8729

**Title:** Tumors of the angle of Treitz - a single center experience

**Reviewer code:** 01560575

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-04 20:07

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-08 10:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major comments: The author of this manuscript tries to describe clinicopathological characteristics and surgical outcome of a very rare condition, tumors of the angle of Treitz that were experienced in a single center. Eleven GISTs and 2 adenocarcinomas were included in the study. The manuscript is well written and seems to be valuable for the publication in WJG, although extensive English polishing and editing is needed. Minor comments: 1. Page 1, line 1. Abstrct should be Abstract. 2. Page 1, line 7. Under should be underwent. 3. Page 1, line 11. For should be of. 4. Page 1, line 41. To due should be due to. 5. Page 2, line 14. Immunohistochemically should be immunohistochemical. 6. Page 3, line 12. Characteristics 13 patients should be characteristics of 13 patients. 7. Fig. 3. Legend. B. Carcinoma moderate and bad should be carcinoma with moderate to poor. 8. Figure 4. Legend. One the two patients with adenocarcinoma should be one of the two patients with adenocarcinoma.



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8729

**Title:** Tumors of the angle of Treitz - a single center experience

**Reviewer code:** 00982044

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-04 20:07

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-12 11:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors try to describe clinicopathological characteristics and surgical outcome of a very rare condition, tumors of the angle of Treitz that were experienced in a single center. The manuscript is well written, and can be accepted for publication after minor corrections. 1 The language of this study should be revised by a native English speaker. 2 The discussion section should be take attention. 3 Figures are good, but the legend should be revised.