

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6294

Title: Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma

Reviewer code: 00227433

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 22:13

Date reviewed: 2013-11-15 18:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent and comprehensive review of evidence on molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer. My only suggestions for improvement would be to include more detail on the sensitivity/specificity of each of the molecular diagnostic tests discussed, and to consider inclusion of a table to summarise the markers discussed, with a comment on the quality of evidence currently available.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6294

Title: Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma

Reviewer code: 00054975

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 22:13

Date reviewed: 2013-11-29 16:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice overview. I believe it can be improved by addressing the following: - It is not sure whether the same markers can be used to detect adenomas and cancers, and even if it can detect neoplasia in different sites in the colon, please discuss. - TP53 is mentioned as a marker but with no relation to CRC, and I doubt anyone would suggest this to be a good and specific marker for colon cancer. Please discuss or remove as you see fit. - Please discuss the current evidence for detecting adenomas/cancers by various fecal tests, be it occult blood or occult genes. What are the evidence, the costs and the current sensitivity/specificity for such testing? - The section on methods used for molecular testing is good. What about immunohistochemistry for MSI testing/screening? Any role compared to the mentioned PCR methods? Please discuss. - I would suggest to address the features and role of hereditary syndromes (HNPCC, FAP) in a separate paragraph, and then discuss CRC on the basis of sporadic cases if that is your intention. Some aspects of hereditary clinical and molecular features may not apply to the sporadic, symptomatic screening setting, so this needs to be highlighted. - Maybe include a few words on the "rules and roles" of developing novel biomarkers - what about testing, validating etc the biomarker panels, any suggested guidelines that should be followed etc? - Figures 1 and 2 is overly simplified and should better be combined to demonstrate the true complexity of CRC development and different classifications. Minors: p. 7: a typo for MSI (is written MIS) p. 7 and ff: greek letters (beta, alpha etc) are marked as squares in the document, may be due to the typesetting, please correct.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6294

Title: Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma

Reviewer code: 00057100

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 22:13

Date reviewed: 2013-11-30 09:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor: I have read with interest the manuscript entitled Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma by Andy Hin-Fung Tsang and coworkers. It is a very interesting topic for clinicians, oncologist, surgeons and gastroenterologist. It is very well written and should be published in WJG.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6294

Title: Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma

Reviewer code: 00057665

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 22:13

Date reviewed: 2013-11-30 23:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a timely review on colorectal cancer biomarkers. I congratulate the authors

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6294

Title: Current and future molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer and colorectal adenoma

Reviewer code: 00068897

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 22:13

Date reviewed: 2013-12-02 16:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Compulsory Revisions 1. This paper reviews molecular diagnostics in colorectal cancer but the results are presented in a very flat manner. i) The authors seem to focus on methodological principles rather than clinical implication. They should explain the clinical benefits for the field of action. This must be revised. ii) While the authors discuss about clinical biomarkers such as CEA in the "Summary" section, they didn't show any comments on it in the text. The summary is completely different to the contents of body text. They should properly address the status quo of clinical biomarkers in the text. 2. As the review for diagnostic and prognostic markers are mixed in the text, readers will be confused. The authors should rewrite the text to make it clear. Minor Revisions 1. Molecular Basis of Colorectal Cancer: 2nd sentence: Adenoma-carcinoma sequence was advocated by Dr Vogelstein's team in Cell, 1990. Please correct the reference. 2. RAS family: Recent clinical trials have started to focus HRAS and BRAF as well as KRAS. Please address the state-of -the-art topics about these genes. 3. Figure Legends: At least, Figures 2 and 5 are not original, as far as I can confirm. The authors should note the original references in the legends. 4. Itemization is treated roughly. For example, "Methods of miRNA Detection", "Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR", "Microarray", and "Lateral Flow Nucleic Acid Assay" should belong to "MicroRNA Markers" section in pp.14. Itemization should be carefully performed.