

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2540

Title: Immunohistochemical assessment of NY-ESO-1 expression in oesophageal adenocarcinoma resection specimens

Reviewer code: 00159305

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-27 16:33

Date reviewed: 2013-03-06 02:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

To the authors, The authors submitted an interesting paper, showing for the first time NY-ESO-1 expression by esophageal adenocarcinoma. I have some minor comments listed below: 1. Please write throughout the text esophageal adenocarcinoma instead oesophageal carcinoma (EAC instead of OAC). 2. Please mention if the study was approved by a local Ethics Committee. 3. You should split the section of CONCLUSION (5 pages!) in two sections: DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION. 4. Page 3, Introduction, first paragraph. Please, specify the references of previous studies. 5. At the end of DISCUSSION, please specify limitations of the study (e.g., retrospective, small number of cases ...), if indeed there are. 6. Please, put reference numbers (throughout the text) in square brackets in superscript at the end of citation content or after the cited author's name. 7. Please, see the style for Journal references from instructions to authors, and make necessary corrections. 8. Finally, I believe that there are too many figures (a-I, 9 in total) and you could give up 2-3 less relevant figures. Overall, I believe that your manuscript is an interesting original work that requires minor modifications to be accepted.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2540

Title: Immunohistochemical assessment of NY-ESO-1 expression in oesophageal adenocarcinoma resection specimens

Reviewer code: 00052339

Science editor: x.z.huang@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-27 16:33

Date reviewed: 2013-03-09 08:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Immunohistochemical assessment of NY-ESO-1 expression in oesophageal adenocarcinoma resection specimens SJ Hayesa b, NK Ngeec, P Clarka , F Thistlethwaited, RE Hawkinse , Y Angb
Reviewer's comments; The expression of NY-ESO-1 in esophageal adenocarcinoma is an interesting issue, but the present data cannot identify the importance or meaning of immunostaining of this molecule. Major points #1 The positive control of immunohistochemical study of this antigen is testis, so the positive staining profile in other tissues should be similar to those of testis. The staining profile of Fig1a and 1b are resembling to positive control of testis in Fig1h,, however, the former cases showed the more intense staining at cytoplasm compared to testis, in which NY-ESO-1 were stained mainly nucleus and perinuclear region. Thus, the authors are recommended to identify the difference of staining profile. What difference was recognized by the western blotting in the samples of Fig.1a or 1b and testis? #2 The cell number showing dot staining is too small, and the same profile of immunostaining was observed in negative control. Hence, I think the dot pattern of staining showed negative staining. If the author would like to identify the biological meaning in the pattern, they should do much more study. Minor point #1 "Conclusion" should be corrected to "Discussion"