

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7400

**Title:** Rectal squamous cell carcinoma with bi-primary lesions treated with surgery and radiotherapy

**Reviewer code:** 02520041

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-16 15:39

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-22 03:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Reviewer's comments: In this work, authors reported a case of a 75 -year old female patient with bi-primary squamous cell carcinoma. The patient was treated with a curative surgery, followed by radiotherapy with a radiation dose of 45Gy. The study reported that the patient is no-evidence-disease at the time of writing, 43 months after treatment. Generally, the work presents an interesting case. However, there are several weaknesses to be addressed. Major critics: 1) In this work, a curative surgery followed by radiotherapy was proposed and applied to a female patient with bi-primary squamous cell carcinoma. It would useful to clarify the rationale of the proposed treatment option. 2) The proposed treatment consisted of a curative surgery and radiotherapy. The details of radiotherapy are lacking. It would be helpful to provide detailed information on radiotherapy. For example, how GTV, CTV and PTV are defined for the radiotherapy? How the fractionation of the radiotherapy is selected? A figure of dose distribution would be helpful. 3) As described in the work, accuracy of time delay measurement depends on both film streak length measurement and motion speed. It would be critical to clarify the uncertainties of the length measurement and motion speed. A discussion on the issue would be useful. 4) In the introduction, few previous works were mentioned. It would be helpful to provide a more comprehensive literature review. 5) On page 6, the 2nd paragraph: " i(I)n generally, the survival ...." does not appear helpful. Please consider to revise or completely remove it. Minor critics: 1) The section of author contributions is missing. 2) On page 8, inconsistent word style is noticed. Please use consistent word style. 3) On page 6, the statement of "...radiotherapy is a better approach to patients..." is not clearly supported by the data presented in the work. Please revise it using a moderate statement. 4) On page 5, "...as high as 20%." It would be helpful to add



## **Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited**

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

---

references to support it,

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7400

**Title:** Rectal squamous cell carcinoma with bi-primary lesions treated with surgery and radiotherapy

**Reviewer code:** 00289529

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-16 15:39

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-19 00:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have presented their case clearly and well documented. Good review of the literature and overall it is very informative

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7400

**Title:** Rectal squamous cell carcinoma with bi-primary lesions treated with surgery and radiotherapy

**Reviewer code:** 02494652

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-16 15:39

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-26 01:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an original work and very interesting for radiation oncologist. The paper is well written and informative. I don't have another comment to do and I recommend it for this journal.