

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5938

Title: Genetic variations in colorectal cancer risk and clinical outcome

Reviewer code: 00068559

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 10:51

Date reviewed: 2013-11-04 17:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The genome-wide association study (GWAS) platform has led to the identification of multiple replicable common genetic variants associated with CRC risk. This review gave us a summarize of recent advances of GWAS-identified genetic variants, especially about multiple CRC-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and including functional characterizations and implications for clinical applications. Although, the vast majority of currently identified SNPs lack known functional significance, these newly discovered genetic variations will add to our understanding of CRC pathogenesis, and may ultimately lead to individualized prevention and treatment of CRC.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5938

Title: Genetic variations in colorectal cancer risk and clinical outcome

Reviewer code: 00504391

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 10:51

Date reviewed: 2013-11-28 05:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Zhang et al. prepared an interesting review on the genetic variations in colorectal cancer. Definitely, the topic will be of interest for the readers of the journal. However, some issues should be solved before accepting the manuscript for publication. Comments: 1. This nice review would be improved if the authors prepare one or two schemes integrating the signal transduction pathways, cell cycle control, genome instability, etc. in which the variants are involved. This figure would provide the reader with a global idea of how the different SNPs might be increasing CRC risk or determining clinical outcome. 2. The manuscript should be revised by an English language expert. For example, in the abstract section, lines 2-3 "this diseases" should be "this disease". Just another example is in the Introduction section "The important role this known but..." the phrase is not understandable.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5938

Title: Genetic variations in colorectal cancer risk and clinical outcome

Reviewer code: 00009776

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 10:51

Date reviewed: 2013-12-15 00:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a pretty good review that summarized the recent GWASs related to newly identified genetic variants associated with CRC risk and clinical outcome. Potentially, further investigations of these variants may add to our understanding of CRC pathogenesis. However, It is better to use a table or diagram to help understanding when they discuss about the Genetic variants in signal transduction pathways, related to genome instability and cell cycle control.