

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5534

Title: A study of clinical, endoscopic, CT and pathological differential diagnosis between intestinal tuberculosis and primary small intestinal lymphoma*

Reviewer code: 02529576

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-09-13 13:19

Date reviewed: 2013-09-13 21:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Heading needs language modification and abstract needs to be written better without lot of repetition. The sample size is small for meaningful comparative statistics. The CT protocol followed and type of endoscopy done [Upper GI, colonoscopy, enteroscopy, capsule endoscopy ?] must be reported. Uncommon terms like lump of eminentia or enterorrhagia is better omitted. There is no reference given for Dawson standard of PSIL diagnosis, in fact there are more modern ways to diagnose PSIL. Overall the language needs more polishing.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5534

Title: A study of clinical, endoscopic, CT and pathological differential diagnosis between intestinal tuberculosis and primary small intestinal lymphoma*

Reviewer code: 00071779

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-09-13 13:19

Date reviewed: 2013-09-15 19:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Unknown words like enterobrosis need to be removed.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5534

Title: A study of clinical, endoscopic, CT and pathological differential diagnosis between intestinal tuberculosis and primary small intestinal lymphoma*

Reviewer code: 02519158

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-09-13 13:19

Date reviewed: 2013-09-19 22:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article brings useful information on differential diagnostics of intestinal diseases, is well designed and the experimental and statistical methods used are described in detail. However, I would like to make some comments on the following points: 1. All abbreviations in manuscript should be explained. In the title no abbreviation should be used ("computerized tomography" and not "CT"). 2. I suggest to supplement discussion of results in relation with recent literature of topic, such as: a) Zhu QQ, Wu JT, Chen WX, Wang SA, Zheng J. Chinese Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery 2012, 15(12):1247-1251 b) Badea R, Chiorean L, Matei D, Bodea R, Caraianni C, Botan E. J Medical Ultrasonics 2013; DOI: 10.1007/s10396-013-0468-s After corrections according to the above comments I will be able to recommend this article for publication in World Journal of Gastroenterology.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5534

Title: A study of clinical, endoscopic, CT and pathological differential diagnosis between intestinal tuberculosis and primary small intestinal lymphoma*

Reviewer code: 00735706

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-09-13 13:19

Date reviewed: 2013-09-28 18:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The paper has novel information on comparison between intestinal tuberculosis and PSIL. 2. The authors could also discuss the differences between intestinal tuberculosis, PSIL and Crohn's Disease. 2. The authors should clarify about Ethical clearance from IRB.