

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7822

Title: A case of GIST of the ampulla of Vater

Reviewer code: 02549281

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-12-01 17:48

Date reviewed: 2013-12-02 07:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting case report of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor of the papilla of Vateri. Overall, this is a nicely documented case of a rare scenario as outlined by the authors. I though have some comments I would like the authors to address: 1. Even though one can anticipate that the patient lost consciousness because of upper GI bleeding, it is not explicitly mentioned in the manuscript. Could you please clarify? 2. Given the results of the upper endoscopy/endosonography and CT scan, was it really necessary to perform additionally a MRI and ERCP? Why was it not enough to have a CT to rule out metastatic disease and performing a biopsy using endosonography? Please comment why you performed an MRI and an ERCP in addition. 3. Could the authors please comment in the discussion about the indications/necessity of adjuvant treatment/observation in this patient in special and briefly in general? What are the current guidelines? 4. What was the perioperative course of the patient? 5. Table 1: please add year of publication and references to the bibliography for each case. 6. Why was the case of Wellmann K et al published in "Chirurg" in 2004 not included (PMID 14991183)? 7. The introduction is very short and I think the first couple of sentences of the discussion could belong to the introduction - please consider to elaborate this.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7822

Title: A case of GIST of the ampulla of Vater

Reviewer code: 02541992

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-12-01 17:48

Date reviewed: 2014-01-01 21:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper report a rare case of a GIST of the ampulla of Vater. The paper is well written and exhaustive, with clear illustrations and figures. References are valuable. I recommend this case report for publication.