

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6304

Title: Liver transplantation for hepatic tumors: a systematic review

Reviewer code: 02524651

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 21:06

Date reviewed: 2013-11-04 21:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Generally the authors have done a nice work. In this manuscript, the authors reviewed the literature about the proceedings of LT on HCC, CCA, LM and other rare tumors. From my side, the parts of HCC and CCA meet the main interesting current issues, therefore benefiting to form an easy understanding for the surgeons. But still, I have questions arising: 1, The Abstract and the Core tips have not been written well. More substantial information(such as up-to 7, neo-adjuvant...) should be included. I suggest the authors to rewritten them. 2, Some spelling errors exist throughout the manuscript (statystical, reccommendations,...). Please check them very carefully.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6304

Title: Liver transplantation for hepatic tumors: a systematic review

Reviewer code: 00842325

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 21:06

Date reviewed: 2013-11-07 09:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Ravaoli. et al. entitled "Liver transplantation for hepatic tumors: a systematic review" is very impressive and well reviewed. It will be acceptable after the minor revision. 1. "the" is duplicated. "the" should be deleted in line2 of abstract. 2. In page 6 line 13, "statystical" should be changed to "statistical" 3. In the session of LT for cholangiocarcinoma, "yelded" should be changed to "yielded"?