Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6131
Title: Helicobacter pylori eradication for preventing gastric cancer
Reviewer code: 01212971
Science editor: Qi, Yuan
Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 22:37
Date reviewed: 2013-10-16 02:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] Existed	[Y] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a manuscript analyzing the influence of helicobacter pyloris eradication for preventin gastric cancer. The manuscript is well written, excellent structured and discusses most of the important studies. However, there are to questions that have to be also discussed: 1. What do the authors think why some studies demonstrate an influence of H.P.-Eradication in preventing gastric cancer and some not? 2. The influence of a H.P. Re-infection after eradication is not discussed in the manuscript. Have you found studies analyzing this? Do relatives like husbands/wifes/children also have to be eradicated or examined regarding a H.P. infection to reach a successfull eradication? This important topic should be added to the manuscript.

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6131
Title: Helicobacter pylori eradication for preventing gastric cancer
Reviewer code: 00007903
Science editor: Qi, Yuan
Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 22:37
Date reviewed: 2013-10-16 07:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The authors address a very interesting issue focusing on the main critical question. The paper is well written, the references are appropriately reported . The study contains many valuable clinical messages useful for the readers.

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6131
Title: Helicobacter pylori eradication for preventing gastric cancer
Reviewer code: 00502797
Science editor: Qi, Yuan
Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 22:37
Date reviewed: 2013-10-09 02:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[Y] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[Y]Rejection
[Y] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] Existed	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Points of criticism: 1. The authors do not perform a systematic review. 2. The several analyses that are mentioned in the manuscript are randomized controlled trials, retrospective analyses as well as meta-analyses so that the conclusions made are not safe. 3. The questions raised by the authors include a much extended field which is not covered by the review. 4. The manuscript needs a thorough linguistic improvement.