

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6870

Title: Pathohistological Classification Systems in Gastric Cancer: Diagnostic Relevance and Prognostic Value

Reviewer code: 02445571

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 19:08

Date reviewed: 2013-11-20 18:13

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | language polishing | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author reviewed the four types of pathohistological classification of gastric cancer. It was very nice in written and comprehensive. The review reflected the need of clinical practice. Weak points: the review lacks of clear conclusive guideline on which is the most useful classification and what is the future direction. Actually, the WHO classification is used in more centers than Lauren classification. However, the author should address the current update on this.