

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6742

Title: Optimization therapy for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B

Reviewer code: 00722197

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 10:38

Date reviewed: 2013-11-06 05:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, First of all, I would like to congratulate the authors on this very interesting review manuscript, addressing this newsworthy and current topic about how can we optimize HBV treatment. Although it is well structured, the english language is very poor with a lot of grammar errors and mistakes. So the author should consider to revise the manuscript with a native seapker of english. On the other hand the author should also consider to discuss the optmization of the therapeutic strategy separately in HbeAg positive and HbeAg negative patients.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6742

Title: Optimization therapy for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B

Reviewer code: 00012513

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 10:38

Date reviewed: 2013-11-21 16:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review of En-Qiand Chen and Hong Tang , is very interesting and is clearly focused on clinical practice, fact that adds very important value to this manuscript. However, in the present form some points require modifications. 1) The main limitation of this manuscript is the poor or incomplete information about the treatment with Tenofovir. Which in not commented on the extension that it would be appropriated. Do not forget the great value of this treatment which in many parts of the world is the first option and there is overwhelming evidence of its usefulness. For this reason, regardless of whether be used or not in certain geographical are, this manuscript is a review for a global magazine and must include the response data , resistance rates or loss of HBsAg seroconversion etc. ... in the 3.1 and 3.2 . Without this information, the review can not be considered as a full review. 2) It would be advisable the inclusion of summary tables of the response data and resistance rate of each treatment or combination thereof , to facilitate the reading of this review. 3) On page 4, paragraph 3.1 should explain the meaning of the phrase ".... Baseline serum ALT and HBV -DNA levels predict Could curative its 2 -year efficacy ... what means exactly " curative 4) In the same paragraph the claim "Thus ADV could be Considered for HBeAg - negatgive patients with lower HBV DNA levels at baseline , ... comment on whether this is or is not dependent on virological characteristics such as viral genotype , 5) At the end of page 5 I reommend substitute "discovered " by " observed"

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6742

Title: Optimization therapy for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B

Reviewer code: 02567657

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 10:38

Date reviewed: 2013-11-24 10:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this work, the authors address an important topic, that of personalization of therapy for hepatitis B virus infection. Attention to a few details may improve this work. 1) The subtitle under point 2.2 is the same as the major title for this section. This should be changed. 2) In many places, the authors indicate a qualitative and quantitative measurement in the same sentence. An example is page 3, where they indicate "high to 65% to 80%." The authors do not need to indicate both, they can delete the word "high" in this instance. 3) In several places, the English needs to be improved. The authors should pay particular attention to the agreement between singular and plural. They also need to pay attention to the definition of abbreviations at first use. 4) Why do the authors focus on HbeAg responses and not HbsAg responses especially when the HbsAg responses are the more meaningful. 5) I think that the inclusion of a flow chart to incorporate the major points to be considered in the optimization of personalized treatment for HBV should be included. 6) Page 4: para 2: HBV DNA has been found to be an important predictor of viral breakthrough with lamivudine, but has this also been true with the other antiviral agents? 7) Page 4, para 2: 18% viral breakthrough at 2 years is a high percentage. I disagree with recommendation that LAM be considered first line therapy for those with HBV DNA < 6.6. log 10. 8) Page 5, para 3, line 4: Statement that "rapidly decreasing HBV DNA to undetectable levels is significantly associated..." should be referenced. 9) Page 7, para 2, line 3: Sentence starting "There is increasing evidence that..." should be referenced. 10) A few specific examples of changes to the English are listed below. a. Abstract-word whose is misspelled. b. Core tip: Need to include the word "to" according to baseline characteristics, and to timely..." c. Page 2, section 2: should be published literature not literatures. d. Similarly, word should be evidence not evidences. e. Page 3, para 1: should state "therefore decreased" f. Page 3, para 2: "HBV genotypes may



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

respond" instead of response. g. Page 4, para 2: Please add the phrase "to be useful" after the word "reported". h. Page 4, para 3, line 2: Word should be "observed" instead of observe. i. Page 5, para 1, last line. Evidence is singular. j. Page 5, para 4, line 1: progress is singular. k. Page 6, para 2, line 6: Change recently to recent. l. Page 7, para 4, line 1: Change of to for. Line 2, change have to has. m. Page 8, para 3 line 4: Change patient to patients.