

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4158

Title: Fast-track program versus traditional care in surgery for gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Reviewer code: 02457550

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-18 15:39

Date reviewed: 2013-07-31 22:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written and concise. The title and abstract explain the manuscript very well. Unfortunately due to lack of studies, there are only 3 studies included in the manuscript and this reduces the impact of the manuscript. The language could be better especially the second sentence in the second paragraph in the Discussion section (Our results found that compared with traditional care, fast-track program showed the fast-track recovery program resulted in a more rapid postoperative recovery, less medical costs, and earlier discharge from hospital, with no more complications occurring in both laparoscopic and open surgery for gastric cancer.) However overall the language is acceptable and easy to understand.