

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5200

**Title:** Intestinal anisakiasis treated successfully with conservative therapy

**Reviewer code:** 00504720

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-24 09:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-28 18:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I consider the article of interest, although anisakiasis is by far a less frequent entity in Europe. Nevertheless, it should be considered as one of the differential diagnoses in patients with nonspecific abdominal symptoms after consumption of raw fish or squid.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5200

**Title:** Intestinal anisakiasis treated successfully with conservative therapy

**Reviewer code:** 00158184

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-24 09:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-24 22:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

this is very interesting article.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5200

**Title:** Intestinal anisakiasis treated successfully with conservative therapy

**Reviewer code:** 00502935

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-24 09:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-28 14:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript needs significant re-writing by a professional medical editor. In a future submission authors should remove unnecessary details such as type and amount of alcohol intake, the exact time of symptom start (unless an hour by hour account is presented) and any exaggerated, theatrical statements 'unbearable pain' and so on. The authors should focus on relevant details: why is it necessary to know patient #3 grandmother had cirrhosis and her mother colon cancer? What is interesting for readership is the Medical reasoning that postponed a surgical intervention, since made the authors surgical exploration since anti-anisakis antibody titers were obtained only by day 4. Is this a routine test? How often is this test done in case of unclear abdominal pain, since virtually everyone eats raw fish in Japan? That test shows also IgG fraction, that is indicative of an infection of older date. How this antibody titer developed later on in these patients?