

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6848

Title: The role of surgery in colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer code: 00069105

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-11-08 02:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Main problem: I think that talking about liver metastases from CRC is almost impossible in one paper. Authors have done a nice try to include crucial information in one paper but I think that is too long and some parts are not well organized. Focusing in some concrete topics would be more interesting for readers that a long but not intense paper. A presonal algorithm or clinical Pathway would be very interesting. Which diagnostic method they do? Which type of surgery they perform?? Nothing about ALPPS or new chemotherapeutic agents? ABSTRACT: Some more information would be interesting. Abstract is vague KEYWORDS: I think liver and metastasis are mandatory as key words. INTRODUCTION: The data included is real but I think that talking about 86% is too much enthusiastic. Please include a range something like (50-86%) or the data of other references INDICATIONS of RESECTION: the sentence intra and extraliver will be better explained. "The remnant of 20 and 30%..." is not real. Patients that received chemotherapy need more remnant. Some extra explanation would be included. ONCOSURGE system: I think again that 20% is for healthy parenchyma not for liver who has receives chemotherapy before. This paragraph perhaps could be included in other parts of the paper. PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION: OK PROGNOSTIC FACTORS. What means C4? SURGERY: Please avoid using lobectomy. This term is not included in Brisbane classification SIMULTANEOUS RESECTION: This topic is too vague I understand that how I say before it is very difficult to talk about every topic in liver metastasis but you have not talked before about synchronous or metachronous metastasis so you should say before that liver mets could be diagnosed in these scenarios CHEMOTHERAPY: You talk here about the need of increasing percentage of remnant I think that explanation should be done before EXTRA LIVER DISEASE: It is mostly based in three articles (Chua, Politano and Adam) but it is OK ADJUVANT: It is Ok but there



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

are more than 2000 papers about chemotherapy in liver mets It is impossible to resume in one sheet
NEOADJUVANT: Same explanation that I have said for adjuvant RECURRENCE: Same
explanation that I have said for adjuvant PERITONEAL MTX: This topic should be included in extra
liver disease RFA: Same explanation that I have said for adjuvant

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6848

Title: The role of surgery in colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer code: 00034451

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-11-08 14:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reviewed an interesting subjects of CRLM treatment. This article seems to cover broad subjects too superficially to make a significant confusion to readers. Well-summarized tables or figures are needed to understand and compare respective study results for the readers. Critically, it is devoid of using objective CRT studies and author appears to give biased conclusions in many important issues. Additionally, it does not give any novel knowledge to readers, compared with other review articles of CRLM treatment.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6848

Title: The role of surgery in colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer code: 00532684

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-11-12 19:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well conceived. The authors should a) improve the English text a) add some tables/figures to intrasr the text readability

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6848

Title: The role of surgery in colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer code: 00057485

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-11-19 23:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Akgul et al provide us with a a nicly written and complete review of surgical mng of CRC liver disease. The authors cite the literature well and have timely comment and updates from past reports.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6848

Title: The role of surgery in colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer code: 02571987

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-30 21:24

Date reviewed: 2014-01-02 01:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a good overview of an interesting topic, and has revised the manuscript according to the reviewers. I have no further comments and congratulate the authors with a fine manuscript.