

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7196

**Title:** Factors associated with incomplete colonoscopy in a Japanese academic hospital

**Reviewer code:** 00289471

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-10 20:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-18 18:50

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            |   | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision     |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a theoretically interesting study, but with only little practical value since it doesn't identify a population to submit to other more effective exams before the endoscopy is performed. In fact effective colon cleansing and melanosis coli are recognized only after the exam is performed. It is not clear why the authors consider IBD separately by UC e CD. It is not clear what is the alternative exam recommended by authors. Many studies are indicating virtual colonoscopy as an effective substitute of optical endoscopy. There is any difference among different human races ( i.e. between Asian and western people)? In methods it is stated that a comparison was made about experience of colonoscopist. but the result of this comparison is not reported in results. The authors say that information about factors associated with incomplete colonoscopy may provide recommendations about which patients should perform non-optical endoscopy, but they don't give any recommendation after their study. There are some minor grammatical mistakes to correct. The study should be revised in order to overcome these criticisms.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7196

**Title:** Factors associated with incomplete colonoscopy in a Japanese academic hospital

**Reviewer code:** 02542797

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-10 20:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-21 07:40

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            |   | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are some english grammatical and syntax changes I would recommend. I did not understand what you meant by the term 'not significant for interaction of UC, CD, and IBD'. There is a typographical error in the discussion segment - numbers of patients with IBD are greater than the total number of patients in the study and the male : female ratios are reversed