

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9032

Title: Clinical contrast study of endoscopic full-thickness resection and laparoscopic surgery in the treatment of gastric stromal tumor arising from the muscularis propria

Reviewer code: 00068556

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-01-17 17:50

Date reviewed: 2014-02-13 16:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gastric stromal tumors are the most common type of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Surgical procedures, including laparotomy and laparoscopic resection, are extensively used in clinical practice. EFR, the new technique to remove the tumor, has minimal injury to the patient. The results suggest that gastric stromal tumors originating from the muscularis propria could be treated successfully with EFR. The study has clinical value.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9032

Title: Clinical contrast study of endoscopic full-thickness resection and laparoscopic surgery in the treatment of gastric stromal tumor arising from the muscularis propria

Reviewer code: 00072816

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-01-17 17:50

Date reviewed: 2014-02-21 02:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Was it the same surgeon who performed all lap operations and EFR? The methods are very specialized at least for surgeons in Europe and it is interesting to read about your fine results.