

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6698

Title: Inferior mesenteric arteriovenous fistula: Case report and world literature review

Reviewer code: 02459817

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:03

Date reviewed: 2013-12-01 22:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Arteriovenous fistulas between Inferior Mesenteric Artery and Vein are rare. In this paper the author presented a new case of primary inferior mesenteric artery and vein fistula and a complete review of the literature. It's very useful for clinical diagnosis and treatment for this rare disease. However, there are some areas that need modification. 1. In the part of Discussion, it needs a more detailed discussion of how to avoid misdiagnosis of the rare disease. I think it's very important for this paper. 2. Running title: "Inferior Mesenteric Artery Arteriovenous Fistula" should be "Inferior Mesenteric Arteriovenous Fistula".

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6698

Title: Inferior mesenteric arteriovenous fistula: Case report and world literature review

Reviewer code: 02534577

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:03

Date reviewed: 2013-12-25 20:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y]Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript was a case report about inferior mesenteric arteriovenous fistula. However, the exact fistula tract was not pointed out in their figures.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6698

Title: Inferior mesenteric arteriovenous fistula: Case report and world literature review

Reviewer code: 02823583

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:03

Date reviewed: 2013-12-27 00:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I gladly reviewed your case report and found it really interesting. I can say that I have personally benefited from reading it, as relevant questions were recently raised in the Department I am working. The case is well presented and analysed. A question can be raised about the robotic assisted procedure, but I know that Laikon Hospital is a University Hospital and the only one in Greece with a robot, so it would be expected for them to use it for training purposes quite often. Sincerely yours, Konstantinos Tsimogiannis MD, PhD General Surgeon, Colorectal Surgery Department, V?stmanlands Sjukhus V?ster?s Sweden tel: +46722563812 email: ktsimogiannis@gmail.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6698

Title: Inferior mesenteric arteriovenous fistula: Case report and world literature review

Reviewer code: 00058030

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-10-28 13:03

Date reviewed: 2013-12-31 20:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting case. Discussion is too long and focusing only minimally on the author's case. Was the first treatment really sub-optimal? What are the learning points and the key messages for the surgical community? This should be much clearer.